

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/646,980	CHU ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

**All Participants:**

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Henry D. Coleman, Esq.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 October 2006

**Time:** ~2:00 pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*20 and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Attorney Coleman to discuss proposed Examiner's amendments to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendment). Mr. Coleman granted permission for the proposed claim amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/646,980	CHU ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Henry D. Coleman, Esq.. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 October 2006

**Time:** 10:20 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

20, 28, 30, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Attorney Coleman to discuss proposed Examiner's amendments (12)-(17) to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendment). Mr. Coleman granted permission for the proposed claim amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)